Are we surgeons finding it all too much? Dealing with the pressures of our profession

C Niek van Dijk

We all agree that a review of the literature should be systematic and not selective. There is one field in which I must admit I have always allowed myself a selective read and that is on the positive effect of red wine on health. I love those steps just to down to my wine cellar to choose my daily ‘medication’. But now there is no way to ignore it. A proper analysis of 599,912 current drinkers, published in the April issue of the Lancet, has demonstrated that there is no protective effect of alcohol on cardiovascular disease. In fact, modern research shows there is a harmful effect.2 In fact, modern Dutch Medical Association to produce an instructional video on alcohol and drug-abuse among specialists. The videos were thoughtful and of high-quality (I saw them!), but the DMA refused them because – as we learnt unofficially – they didn’t want the media to ‘get the wrong idea’ about surgeons. This would certainly seem to indicate that they know there’s a problem, but they don’t want to make it public...

There definitely seems to be a problem. Consider the figures:

► burnout amongst surgeons is increasing at an alarming rate, with current reports exceeding 50%;3 4
► surgeons divorce more than the general population (15% more).5 6
► surgeons drink more than other types of workers (10% more ‘heavy drinkers’);7 8
► 50% of surgeons older than 50 years have health problems, including depression;8
► surgeons ponder suicide more than other types of workers.9 4
► surgeons think their work environment is ‘unhealthy’, more than any other profession.9

The reasons for dissatisfaction vary slightly from culture to culture, as you might expect. Among Chinese doctors, it is because they believe they are underpaid (nearly half want to quit because of this).10 In Western Europe and the US, the threat of malpractice is a risk factor for burnout (nearly half of American physicians have been sued for malpractice (42%)).11 And academics have it marginally easier than private physicians (burnout at 38% vs 43%, depression at 28% vs 33%, suicidal moods at 4% vs 7%, and higher career satisfaction).9

It doesn’t sound too good, does it?12 It’s clear that we surgeons have long working hours and that we feel we’re always ‘on duty’. It’s clear that our administrative load is growing. And it’s clear that our status has been changing. At one time, physicians were considered to be almost sacrosanct and were protected from hostile criticism. The respect has remained but socialised medicine and the internet have changed our patients, and with greater access to healthcare information, they have become more demanding and less forgiving. But these are only things that keep us sharp. They are not the real problem.

In the nineteenth century everyone worked long hours in factories, and under much worse conditions, but they didn’t ‘burnout’ or divorce their partners or put themselves on anti-depressants. They just ‘got on with it’, because they had no choice. Modern scholars and entrepreneurs, most of the self-employed and employees from start-ups work even longer hours than we do, and they don’t take to drink or have break-downs or become emotionally exhausted to the same extent as apparently we do.

There must be something profound going on here, something that can’t easily be fixed. A lot of us work in emergency surgery, where we deal with victims of traffic accidents, with industrial injuries and with the random violence of modern life. In this respect surgeons are like military personnel. Soldiers routinely deal with life and death, and so do surgeons, if we fail to get it right.

We feel a certain sympathy when we look back. Imagine being a surgeon in the 17th century on Michiel de Ruyter’s Dutch warship or in 1812 on Lord Nelson’s flagship the HMS Victory, and working by candlelight in a tiny OR, safe below the waterline, safe from cannon-balls at least. We would have worked without anaesthesia and disinfector and probably done exactly what those surgeons did: shared our patient’s pint of navy rum before amputating his leg without anaesthesia.

And we can understand young doctors in the 20th century, who survived a harrowing workload on benzedrine and nicotine, and then sought relief in drinking.

But are we so very different in the twenty-first century, with our smartphones and gadgets? Are our problems any different? We talk about ‘burnout’, ‘stress factors’ and ‘unhealthy work environments’ but do these words best describe what is happening to us?

We used to talk about ‘load’ building up, as if we resembled the system of pipes and reservoirs of a house’s plumbing, where a pipe could burst and flood everything, unless we somehow ‘relieved’ the pressure. This was how Freud regarded the mind, as a simple hydraulic system. And he was wrong, of course.

Then we began talking about ‘breakdowns’, as if we were cars that might blow a gasket and end up beside the road. Then we talked about ‘overload’ and ‘stress’ and ‘collapse’ as if we were just bits of a metal...
bridge that would bend and bend until they suddenly broke.

And now we talk knowingly about ‘burnouts’, as if we were electrical circuits that might burst into flame and burn down our homes.

All these metaphors suggest that we can continue working at our tremendous pace, until we suddenly stop because we’re finished and beyond repair, like cars in a dump or burned-out houses.

But that’s not how the military sees it, and they best understand the problem, which they call ‘combat fatigue’. Lord Moran was Churchill’s private physician during the Second World War, but in the First World War he was a battalion medical officer, serving in the trenches, where he saw officers commit suicide by ‘putting themselves in the way of a bullet’ rather than disgrace their comrades by collapsing in tears.

In 1940 he wrote a book that is still a standard text in military academies. Like most military wisdom, it has been adopted by civilians. Moran’s book was called ‘The Anatomy of Courage’, and it tells us everything we need to know.

Moran explained that moral courage is not something that some have (the brave) and others lack (the cowards), which allows some to get through the horrors of war or of life, like some sort of armour plating. Moral courage is something that we all have, but in differing amounts, just as we all have different balances in our bank accounts. But everyone does have a bank account, and everyone can empty that account, either with a single massive withdrawal – a major trauma, such as a patient dying horribly, or a major battle – or in smaller, more manageable withdrawals – like the daily drip-drip of stress and anxiety and routine danger. We all can exhaust our moral courage, and when it’s gone, we will fail. But our ‘account’ can be managed. It can be monitored, and when it’s drifting into the red, it can be frozen, and then replenished.

As you can see, Moran was using a different metaphor. And it was far more optimistic. He thought that officers could be trained to watch their men – almost like old-fashioned bank managers watched their customers – because if they acted in time, they could save their men from burnout – just as the bank manager could forewarn of bankruptcy and default.

And he was proven correct. In the Second World War, the US Air Force required its bomber crews to fly only 25 missions, after which they would be retired back to the US. They had very few men who failed. But the British air force just kept their pilots flying, and flying, until many of them collapsed – which was the very reason Moran wrote his book, to try and persuade the air force to learn what the army had learnt in the First World War.

If I were a military commander – and could apply Moran’s military wisdom to surgery – it would go like this: ‘We are asking too much of ourselves! Our work is very hard, and there is too much of it. Too little is being done to monitor us and too much to satisfy the administrators. We need to watch our colleagues, and if they are close to failing, we need to help, to get them out, to get them into rest-and-recreation. And sport is the best form of recreation. If we can do this, and do it in time, then we can save them. But if we fail to do this, we shall lose them, and they WILL fail. Either they will turn to drink or drugs, or they will turn against themselves’.

As Lord Moran added: ‘It is the tough ones you need to watch, the ones who never show the strain, who always keep a calm face. They are the ones you find in middle-age, sitting behind their desks, with the tears pouring down their faces’.

If my thesis is correct, there are other things we can do, apart from watching for tell-tale signs, as if we were combat officers. We can explain to our employers, who tend to be governments or insurance companies, that a surgeon’s work is difficult and different. They have to start realising this and stop taking advantage.

But is my thesis correct? I think we need to anaesthetize ourselves as well as our patients. We orthopods rarely administer blood tests to our patients to diagnose an orthopaedic sports medicine problem. But that might change in the future. Biomarkers are tell-tales signs of disease. In this issue of JISAKOS, biomarkers in the blood are used to diagnose labral lesions in the hip joint (FAI). Pro-inflammatory cytokines are a biomarker for osteoarthritis (OA). Since FAI can precede OA, it can be assumed that OA biomarkers are present in FAI. This holds great promise as a means to monitor orthopaedic disease. It must be remembered, however, that the specificity of these biomarkers is low. A positive test tells us there is inflammation. It does not tell us where the inflammation is.

In the science fiction film Gattaca, set in the ‘not too distant future’, all employees are tested as they pass through the turnstile of an extremely busy space agency. A small sample of blood is automatically taken from their finger, and the turnstile checks their identity and health through their hormonal balance. It should be possible (and it’s theoretically conceivable) to detect our own exhaustion – and our attempts to hide it or drown it out – through hormonal-balance in the blood and biomarkers.

I have been in ORs in many countries all over the world. And I would not be surprised if, in some countries, an alcohol test taken after lunch would be positive. We surgeons need to fix this problem ourselves, before government steps in. We accept that there is a problem, and we’re bright enough to understand how to fix it. That puts us two steps ahead of our governments...
And to come back to my dilemma concerning the arthroscopic demo: yes, I should have refused to perform the surgery. And concerning my wine cellar... Maybe I should consider skipping my trip to the cellar today. But will this consideration prevent me from taking these steps down? Probably not...

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© International Society of Arthroscopy, Knee Surgery and Orthopaedic Sports Medicine 2018. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite van Dijk CN. JISAKOS 2018;3:125–127.
doi:10.1136/jisakos-2018-000237

REFERENCES


